NEW CANCER DIAGNOSIS SIGNIFICANT EVENT ANALYSIS

| Patient name | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Diagnosis | Stage at diagnosis (distant |
| | |
| (Enter the diagnosis of the primary cancer – only include confirmed | – metastatic or secondary |
| malignancies) | disease) |
| Age | |
| Gender | |
| Ethnicity | |
| Problems communicating | |
| (Note any communication problems the patient has – deafness, sight, | |
| learning disabilities etc) | |
| Housebound | YES / NO |
| Date patient first reported symptom or sign to Primary Care that with hind | |
| sight was related to the final cancer diagnosis | |
| (Defined as being the earliest date the primary care clinician could have | |
| started investigation or referral for possible important pathology, including | |
| cancer) | |
| Date Referral Sent | |
| Date first seen by specialist | |
| (Date the patient first had contact with secondary care following the referral, | |
| whether for an investigation or an outpatient appointment) | |
| Date of diagnosis | |
| (i.e. date a clinical diagnosis is made) | |
| Were there avoidable delays to this patient's journey relating to referral | YES |
| decision / practice policies / diagnostic delays | NO |
| accision y practice pondies y anagmostic aciays | How Long |
| If yes, please comment: | Tiow Long |
| Waiting for investigations before deciding to refer | YES / NO |
| | YES / NO |
| Waiting for investigations that might have been unnecessary in deciding whether to refer or not | |
| Failure to recognise significance of the symptoms | YES / NO |
| Any delays within secondary care | YES / NO |
| If YES what were they | |
| Other reasons why things may have been done sooner | |
| Were there avoidable delays to the patient's journey BECAUSE THE | YES |
| PATIENT DELAYED IN ATTENDING | NO |
| | HOW LONG |
| If yes, please comment: | |
| | |
| | |
| Type of referral that resulted in a cancer diagnosis | 1. PRIMARY CARE |
| | • 2ww |
| | Urgent |
| | Routine |
| | Emergency OPD |
| | Emergency |
| | admission |
| | 2. OUT OF HOURS |
| | _ |
| | 3. SELF REFERRAL A&E |

| How many times has the patient been seen before referral, for the same or | Partner 1 |
|--|------------------|
| similar or related problems (expand as necessary to include all health | Partner 2 |
| professional who were involved) | Locum 1 |
| | Locum 2 |
| | Practice Nurse |
| How many times has the patient been seen before referral for UNRELATED | Partner 1 |
| PROBLEMS (expand as necessary to include all health professional who | Partner 2 |
| were involved) | Locum 1 |
| | Locum 2 |
| | Practice Nurse |
| Where there good things about the diagnostic / referral process | YES / NO |
| There are good armige about the drughtestic / referral process | 1237113 |
| If yes please comment – could these be replicated for other patients | |
| | |
| | |
| What points can be taken from this analysis that may be useful for you and o | ther practices : |
| | |
| LEARNING POINT 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| LEARNING POINT 2 | |
| | |
| | |
| LEARNING POINT 3 | |
| | |
| | |
| LEARNING POINT 4 | |
| | |
| | T |
| HAVE ANY CHANGES BEEN MADE TO YOUR CLINICAL PRACTICE – describe | YES / NO |
| | |
| HAVE ANY CHANGES BEEN MADE TO YOUR PRACTICE PROCEDURES – describ | e: |
| 1. | |
| | |
| 2. | |
| | |
| 3. | |
| | |
| 4. | |
| And these lessons that other prostings was been from | VEC / NO |
| Are these lessons that other practices nay learn from | YES / NO |
| And the up leading a constitute of Constitut | VEC / NO |
| Are there lessons requiring a Consortium / CCG approach or involvement to | YES / NO |
| address eg developing further awareness raising event or material | |
| | |
| IF THE ANSWER IS YES TO EITHER OT THE ABOVE PLEASE CONTACT YOUR | |
| CCG / CONSORTIUM TO DISCUSS THE NEST STEPS | |